

Chad S. Campbell (SBN 258723)  
cscampbell@perkinscoie.com  
PERKINS COIE LLP  
2901 North Central Avenue, Suite 2000  
Phoenix, AZ 85012-2788  
Telephone: (602) 351-8000  
Facsimile: (602) 648-7000

Lauren Sliger (SBN 213880)  
lsliger@perkinscoie.com  
PERKINS COIE LLP  
1888 Century Park East, Suite 1700  
Los Angeles, CA 90067-1721  
Telephone: (310) 788-3245  
Facsimile: (310) 788-3399

Christopher Kao (SBN 237716)  
ckao@perkinscoie.com  
PERKINS COIE LLP  
3150 Porter Drive  
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1212  
Telephone: (650) 838-4300  
Facsimile: (650) 838-4350

*Attorneys for Plaintiff Microsoft Corporation*

Morgan Chu (70446)  
Joseph M. Lipner (155735)  
Samuel K. Lu (171969)  
Adam S. Goldberg (250172)  
IRELL & MANELLA LLP  
1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900  
Los Angeles, California 90067-4276  
Telephone: (310) 277-1010  
Facsimile: (310) 203-7199  
E-mail: mchu@irell.com  
E-mail: jlipner@irell.com  
E-mail: slu@irell.com  
E-mail: agoldberg@irell.com

*Attorneys for Defendant TiVo Inc.*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a  
Washington corporation,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
TIVO INC., a Delaware corporation,  
  
Defendant.

Case No. 5:10-cv-00240-LHK (PSG)

**JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO  
FILE RESPONSE AND REPLY CLAIM  
CONSTRUCTION BRIEFS**

AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.

1 Through their respective counsel, Microsoft Corporation and TiVo Inc. stipulate as  
2 follows:

3 1. Due to the varying schedules of the parties and their counsel, the parties  
4 respectfully request an adjustment to the due dates for the Responsive Claim Construction Brief  
5 and the Reply Claim Construction Brief with respect to the asserted Microsoft patents. The  
6 proposed adjustment is:

7

8 Deadline	Previous Date	Proposed Date
9 Responsive Claim Construction Brief	March 3, 2011	March 10, 2011
10 Reply Claim Construction Brief	March 10, 2011	March 24, 2011

11 2. The parties disclose that the prior time modifications in this case were (1) a joint  
12 stipulation giving TiVo a 30-day extension of time to answer, plead, or otherwise respond to  
13 Microsoft's complaint (D.I. 10; D.I. 11); and (2) a joint stipulation adjusting the Court's Case  
14 Management Scheduling Order (D.I. 34; D.I. 36).

15 3. The parties do not anticipate that the requested adjustment to the above dates will  
16 have any effect on the other scheduled dates in the case, including the dates scheduled for the  
17 tutorial and claim construction hearing regarding the asserted Microsoft patents.

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 DATED: February 23, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

2 PERKINS COIE LLP

3  
4 By: /s/ Lauren Sliger  
5 Chad S. Campbell  
6 Lauren Sliger  
7 Christopher Kao

*Attorneys for Plaintiff Microsoft Corporation*

8 DATED: February 23, 2011

IRELL & MANELLA LLP

9  
10 By: /s/ Adam S. Goldberg  
11 Morgan Chu  
12 Joseph M. Lipner  
13 Samuel K. Lu  
14 Adam S. Goldberg

*Attorneys for Defendant TiVo Inc.*

15 **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED**

16 Date: February 23, 2011

17   
18 \_\_\_\_\_  
19 Hon. Lucy H. Koh  
20 United States District Judge  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28